Case 12-37961 Filed 03/08/13 Doc 1293

1 2 3 4 5 6	DONALD W. FITZGERALD, State Bar No. THOMAS A WILLOUGHBY, State Bar No. JENNIFER E. NIEMANN, State Bar No. 142 FELDERSTEIN FITZGERALD WILLOUGHBY & PASCUZZI LLP 400 Capitol Mall, Suite 1750 Sacramento, CA 95814 Telephone: (916) 329-7400 Facsimile: (916) 329-7435 dfitzgerald@ffwplaw.com twilloughby@ffwplaw.com jniemann@ffwplaw.com	. 137597
7	Attorneys for Zacky Farms, LLC	
8	UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT	
10	EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
11	SACRAMENTO DIVISION	
12	In re:	CASE NO. 12-37961-B-11
13 14 15 16	ZACKY FARMS, LLC, a California limited liability company, Debtor-In-Possession.	DCN: RAC-1 Date: May 14, 2013 Time: 9:32 a.m. Courtroom: 32 501 I Street, 6th Floor Sacramento, CA
17 18	DEBTOR'S OBJECTION TO ALLOWANCE OF ADMINISTRATIVE EXPENSE CLAIM PURSUANT TO 11 U.S.C. § 503(b)(9) FILED BY FOSTER POULTRY FARMS DBA FOSTER FARMS (CLAIM NO. 290)	
19	Zacky Farms, LLC, a California limited liability company, debtor in possession in the	
20	above-entitled bankruptcy case (the "Debtor"	") hereby objects (the "Objection") to the allowance
21	of the administrative expense claim filed pursuant to 11 U.S.C. § 503(b)(9) as set forth in (a) the	
22	motion for allowance and immediate payment of administrative expense claim pursuant to	
23	11 U.S.C. § 503(b)(9) filed by Foster Poultry Farms d/b/a/ Foster Farms ("Foster Farms") on	
24	November 13, 2012 (Dkt. No. 293) (the "Motion"), in the amount of \$1,637,705.08 (the	
25	"Claim"); and (b) Claim No. 290 filed by Foster Farms on January 2, 2013, in the amount of	
26	\$1,637,705.08. A true and correct copy of the Motion and the Claim will be filed if a timely	

DEBTOR'S OBJECTION TO SECTION 503(b)(9) CLAIM

response is filed to this Objection. This Objection is filed pursuant to this Court's order

27

28

establishing procedures for Section 503(b)(9) Claims (Dkt. No. 1108) and is supported by the Declaration of Jennifer Byrne ("Byrne Decl.") filed herewith.

The Debtor objects to Claim No. 290 on the ground that Claim No. 290 is duplicative of allowance of the Claim as requested in the Motion.

The Debtor objects to allowance of the Claim on two grounds: (1) Claimant received potentially avoidable transfers of the Debtor's property within the 90 days prior to October 8, 2012 (the "Petition Date"), which property (or its value) has not been returned to the estate; and (2) to the extent that the Claim is not disallowed due to the avoidable transfers, the Claim should be allowed administrative priority status in an amount no greater than \$1,543,582.08.

A. The Claim Is Subject To Bankruptcy Code Section 502(d)

Commencing on or about July 10, 2012, the Debtor transferred property to or for the benefit of Claimant in an aggregate amount of at least \$3,750,106.09 (collectively, the "Avoidable Transfers"). At the time the Debtor made the Avoidable Transfers, Claimant was a creditor of the Debtor. The Avoidable Transfers were for or on account of an antecedent debt owed by the Debtor and were made while the Debtor was insolvent. The Avoidable Transfers were made on or within 90 days before the Petition Date and enabled Claimant to receive more than Claimant would have received if the case were a case under chapter 7 of the Bankruptcy Code, 1 the Avoidable Transfers had not been made, and Claimant received payment of its antecedent debt to the extent provided by the provisions of the Bankruptcy Code. Byrne Decl. ¶¶ 7-11.

Bankruptcy Code section 502(d) can be used to disallow a claim under section 503(b)(9). See In re Circuit City Stores, Inc., 426 B.R. 560 (Bankr. E.D. Va. 2010) (holding section 502(d) can be used to disallow a claim under section 503(b)(9)). Circuit City is consistent with MicroAge, Inc. v. Viewsonic Corp. (In re MicroAge, Inc.), 291 B.R. 503, 508 (9th Cir. B.A.P. 2002), which holds that section 502(d) can be used to disallow an administrative claim. Because Claimant received Avoidable Transfers that have not been returned to the Debtor's bankruptcy estate, the Debtor objects to allowance of Claimant's Claim.

¹ Title 11 of the United States Code, §§ 101 et seq.

1	B. If Allowed In Any Amount, The Claim Should Be Allowed Administrative Priority Status In An Amount No Greater Than \$1,543,582.08	
2	1 Hority Status III All Allount No Greater Than \$1,543,502.00	
3	Section 503(b)(9) of the Bankruptcy Code provides in relevant part that	
4	After notice and a hearing, there shall be allowed, administrative expenses, other than claims allowed under section 502(f) of this title, including –	
5	(9) the value of any goods received by the debtor within 20 days	
6 7	before the date of commencement of a case under this title in which the goods have been sold to the debtor in the debtor's ordinary course of such debtor's business.	
8	11 U.S.C. § 503(b)(9).	
9	The Claim includes invoice numbers 6002435954 and 6002435988 in the aggregate	
10	amount of \$11,667.44 for goods received by the Debtor post-petition. Byrne Decl. ¶ 15. Goods	
11	received by the Debtor from Claimant on or after the Petition Date are not subject to	
12	administrative expense priority under Bankruptcy Code section 503(b)(9). The Claim also	
13	includes invoice number Z12681002 for which the Debtor has prorated \$481,653.97 for goods	
14	delivered pre-petition while Claimant has allocated \$564,109.53 for such goods. <i>Id.</i> If the Claim	
15	is allowed in any amount, the Claim should only be allowed administrative priority status in an	
16	amount not greater than \$1,543,582.08.	
17	This Objection is limited to the grounds stated herein, and the Debtor expressly reserves	
18	all other substantive and/or procedural objections that it may have with respect to this Claim.	
19	WHEREFORE, the Debtor objects to Claim No. 290 on the ground that Claim No. 290 is	
20	duplicative of allowance of the Claim as requested in the Motion and requests the Court disallow	
21	Claim No. 290 in its entirety. The Debtor further requests that: (1) the Court disallow Claimant's	
22	Claim in the amount for which Claimant is liable under Bankruptcy Code section 550; and (2) if	
23	Claimant's Claim is allowed in any amount, the Court allow the Claim administrative priority	
24	status in an amount no greater than \$1,543,582.08.	
25	Dated: March 8, 2013 FELDERSTEIN FITZGERALD	
26	WILLOUGHBY & PASCUZZI LLP	
27	By: <u>/s/ Thomas A. Willoughby</u> THOMAS A. WILLOUGHBY	
28	Attorneys for Zacky Farms, LLC	